

# Very Large H II Regions Around Proto-Clusters of Galaxies During Reionization

Renyue Cen<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The physical basis for the belief that the abundant old dwarf galaxies seen in present-day galaxy clusters formed before reionization of the universe is very compelling, because (1) the observed faint end slope of the galaxy luminosity function ascends from a shallower slope at brighter magnitudes and tracks that of dark matter halo mass function, and (2) that steep power-law slope is observed to extend all the way to galaxies of inferred velocity dispersion of  $\sim 10\text{km/s}$ . We then show that the number of ionizing photons emitted by these dwarf galaxies should be able to produce an H II region at least as large as each proto-cluster region, occupying at least 20% of the entire IGM volume at  $z \geq 6$ . A more likely scenario is that our estimate of the ionizing photon production rate based on these dwarfs under-estimates the true rate from proto-clusters by a factor of  $\sim 5$  for a variety of physically plausible reasons, in which case a fully self-consistent picture emerges. Specific implications include (1) Strong clustering of sources would collectively produce very large individual H II regions of sizes up to  $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$  before overlapping with others, having important implications for upcoming radio and CMB observations. There should be large spatial fluctuations of neutral fraction in the intergalactic medium up to cluster scales of  $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$ . We give an H II region size function. (2) The ionizing sources at  $z \sim 6$  are expected to be highly biased with a bias factor  $\geq 15$ . (3) Large H II regions would enable largely unattenuated transmission of flux of a significant number of sources prior to reionization. When more sensitive observations become available, one should expect to see clusters of fainter sources in the vicinity of known galaxies at  $z > 6$ .

*Subject headings:* cosmology: theory — intergalactic medium — reionization

---

<sup>1</sup>Princeton University Observatory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544; cen@astro.princeton.edu

## 1. Introduction

The entire cosmological reionization process may be extended in time and quite complex (Kogut et al. 2003), likely with a few twists and turns (e.g., Cen 2003; Wyithe & Loeb 2003; Haiman & Holder 2003; Ricotti & Ostriker 2004). We just began to understand this process. The evidence for the completion of the final reionization episode at  $z \sim 6$  is, however, firmly established by the recent observations of high redshift quasars from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (e.g., Fan et al. 2001; Becker et al. 2001; Barkana 2002; Cen & McDonald 2002; White et al. 2003).

What is still unclear is what sources are responsible for ionizing the universe at  $z \sim 6$  and a variety of scenarios may be imagined, although no fully satisfactory scenario has been put forth. In this *Letter* we suggest that a large portion, if not all, of the required ionizing sources may be the old, faint dwarf galaxies, already observed in local clusters of galaxies from the RASS-SDSS cluster survey (Popesso et al. 2005). We present physical reasoning why these local sources were formed prior to reionization and show the star formation rate from them is capable of ionizing at least a significant fraction of the universe at  $z \sim 6$  and most likely the entire intergalactic medium (IGM).

## 2. Dwarf Galaxies in Clusters of Galaxies and Reionization

The RASS-SDSS galaxy cluster survey (Popesso et al. 2005) convincingly demonstrates that the faint end ( $M_z = -13$  to  $-18$ ) of the galaxy luminosity function (LF) for early-type galaxies has a slope  $\alpha$  about and somewhat steeper than  $-2.0$  in all three SDSS bands ( $\alpha = -2.01 \pm 0.11$  in  $r$ ,  $\alpha = -2.03 \pm 0.08$  in  $i$ , and  $\alpha = -2.05 \pm 0.09$  in  $z$  band) (Figure 9 of Popesso et al. 2005). For simplicity we will just use  $z$  band for further analyses.

Let us first check what kind of galaxies  $M_z = -13$  corresponds to. For that we will draw upon our present knowledge of dwarf galaxies in the Local Group (LG), where they are best studied. Two well studied relevant dwarfs in the LG are Fornax and Sagittarius, which have  $M_V = -13.2$  and  $-13.4$ , respectively (Table 4 of Mateo 1998). Using the magnitude conversions in Table 3 of Frei & Gunn (1994) for type E galaxies, we obtain their respective  $z$  band absolute magnitude  $M_z = -14.26$  and  $-14.46$ . The observed 1-d velocity dispersions for Fornax and Sagittarius are  $10.5 \pm 1.5$  km/s and  $11.4 \pm 0.7$  km/s, respectively (Figure 7 of Mateo 1998). While one cannot directly translate LG dwarfs to cluster dwarfs, it seems reasonable to assume that the faint limit at  $M_z \sim -13$  observed by Popesso et al. (2005) may correspond to galaxies of velocity dispersion close to 10 km/s. Kravtsov, Gnedin & Klypin (2004) presented a quite interesting case for the observed LG dwarfs to have significantly

more massive progenitors in the past. They suggest that the velocity dispersion of the progenitors at the epoch of major star formation may be a factor of 2 larger. We thus propose that the observed dwarfs with  $M_z \sim -13$  have velocity dispersions at formation of  $10 - 20 \text{ km/s}$ .

Having plausibly established the physical nature of these galaxies, we can now ask the following question. Did these systems form prior or subsequent to reionization? The effect of photo-heating due to reionization on the suppression of dwarf galaxy formation is well demonstrated (Bullock, Kravtsov, & Weinberg 2000; Somerville 2002; Benson et al. 2002), indicating that galaxy formation in halos with velocity dispersions less than  $\sim 30 \text{ km/s}$  is greatly suppressed and the effect extends well into larger halos (Thoul & Weinberg 1996; Quinn, Katz, & Efstathiou 1996; Gnedin 2000). Thus, it would be extremely unlikely (if not impossible) for the faint galaxies with  $\sigma = 10 - 20 \text{ km/s}$  to maintain a power-law slope of  $\sim -2$ , which is the slope of the underlying dark matter halo mass function (Press & Schechter 1974), if they were formed subsequent to reionization. This statement would remain valid even if we have under-estimated the velocity dispersion of these systems by a factor of a few. This is primarily because it would be extremely unlikely to produce a power-law luminosity function of slope  $-2$  for halos of estimated mass in the range of  $10^8 - 10^{10} M_\odot$ , while the slope of the halo mass function at faint end is also  $-2$ , when the Jeans mass of post-reionization gas is raised to  $2 \times 10^{10}$  for  $T = 10^4 \text{ K}$ . If, for some reason, gas was stored in these systems prior to reionization then later formed stars subsequent to reionization, as Shaviv & Dekel (2003) showed, photo-heating would boil gas out of potential wells of halos with  $\sigma \sim 30 \text{ km/s}$ . Moreover, the faint end slope of  $\alpha \sim -2$  from  $M_z = -18$  to  $M_z = -13$  ascends from a much shallower ( $\alpha \sim -0.76$ ) slope at brighter magnitudes. Since photo-heating induced suppression of star formation is progressively greater for smaller galaxies, the upturn of the luminosity function at low end closely tracking the underlying mass function is just the opposite of what one would expect if they form subsequent to reionization. We conclude that the faint galaxies displaying a power-law LF slope of  $\sim -2$  must have formed before photo-heating due to reionization has significantly affected them.

Additional credible evidence that supports this picture comes from the observed abundance differences between cluster dwarfs and dwarfs in lower density regions (Trentham & Tully 2002). Tully et al. (2002) were the first to suggest that reionization may be able to explain this abundance difference. They show that dwarf halos in clusters are able to collapse before reionization to form stars, whereas dwarf halos in lower density regions collapse after the reionization and were unable to accrete a significant amount of gas to form visible galaxies, hence the lack of them. Our work extends this idea and relates these cluster dwarfs directly to sources that reionize the universe at  $z \sim 6$ , in a self-consistent way.

The next question then arises: If these faint galaxies in present clusters were formed at epochs prior to  $z \sim 6$ , can they be responsible for producing the majority of ionizing photons for the final reionization at  $z \sim 6$ ? We show below that they can indeed produce a large number of ionizing photons to at least significantly ionize the universe at  $z \sim 6$ .

Using  $M_z = 4.51 - 2.5 \log(L/L_\odot)$  (Kauffmann et al. 2003), we find the luminosity  $L = 1.01 \times 10^7 L_\odot$  for  $M_z = -13.0$ . Using stellar mass to luminosity ratio in z-band  $(M/L)_z = 0.5 - 0.6$  for  $M_z = -14.0$  to  $-18.0$  (Figure 14 of Kauffmann et al. 2003) we find the stellar mass to be approximately  $M_s = 5.56 \times 10^6 M_\odot$  for  $M_z = -13.0$ . It is interesting to note, if star formation efficiency is 10%, one would obtain total gas mass for each galaxy with  $M_z = -13.0$  of  $M_g = 5.56 \times 10^7 M_\odot$  and total mass of  $M_t = 3.5 \times 10^8 M_\odot$  corresponding to a velocity dispersion of 17km/s at  $z = 6$ . This indicates that our assumption for the velocity dispersion is self-consistent. Integrating the LF function from  $M_z = -18.0$  to  $-13.0$  (lower right panel of Figure 9 in Popesso et al. 2005) we obtain the total stellar mass contained in these faint galaxies in the 69 sampled clusters of Popesso et al. (2005) to be  $1.00 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ . For every baryon formed into stars with the standard Salpeter IMF about 3500 hydrogen ionizing photons are produced. Assuming ionizing photon escape fraction of  $f_{esc}$ , the total number of ionizing photons pumped into the IGM from all the faint galaxies in these 69 clusters are equal to the number of hydrogen atoms contained in  $4.60 \times 10^{15} (f_{esc}/0.1) M_\odot$  of gas.

Next, let us compute the total gas mass contained in the 69 clusters in the RASS-SDSS sample. Based on data presented in Popesso et al. (2004) we estimate that the total mass of the 69 clusters is  $2.5 \times 10^{16} M_\odot$ , yielding the total gas mass contained in these clusters of  $4.0 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ . We see that the number of photons produced by the faint galaxies [ $4.60 \times 10^{15} (f_{esc}/0.1) M_\odot$ ] is about equal to the number of hydrogen atoms in all these clusters, if 10% of ionizing photons were able to escape from star-forming galaxies. It thus seems likely that the ionizing photons produced by the known faint cluster galaxies alone can at least ionize all the hydrogen in the proto-cluster regions. Since approximately 20% of all matter is in clusters of galaxies today, hence, at face value, it appears that the known dwarf galaxies in clusters fall short by a factor of  $\sim 5$  to produce one ionizing photon per hydrogen atom for the entire IGM.

Could we have underestimated the number of ionizing photons from galaxies in proto-clusters? There are at least six possible sources that may have caused such an underestimation. First, it should be noted that the majority of dark matter halos that originally host these dwarfs galaxies at  $z \geq 6$  most likely have disappeared by  $z = 0$ , due to merging and disruption over the time. The stellar components, presumably having collapsed by a larger factor, are often thought to be more robust for survival (e.g., Gao et al. 2004). Nevertheless,

it is unknown presently about the survival rate of these galaxies and it is possible that a large fraction of such stellar systems may have lost their separate entities in the course of time since  $z \sim 6$ . Second, the limits of  $M_z = -18.0$  to  $-13.0$  of the LF that we use may have excluded some galaxies at brighter magnitudes. How abundant the galaxies at  $M_z < -18.0$  were at  $z \sim 6$  is unclear, although there appear to be a plateau from  $M_z = -18$  to  $M_z = -22$  (Figure 9 of Popesso et al. 2004) at the present time. The uncertainty is of course that we do not know when these large, old galaxies formed. The largest possible upward correction factor would be about 10 by integrating the observed LF (Figure 9 of Popesso et al. 2004) to the bright end, if all brighter galaxies were formed at the same time as the dwarf galaxies. Fourth, the ionizing photon escape fraction may be higher than 10% assumed, where the largest possible upward correction is 10. Fifth, if the IMF at  $z \geq 6$  in these galaxies is somewhat more top-heavy than the normal Salpeter IMF, the estimated number of ionizing photons would be increased correspondingly, where the largest possible upward correction is about 30 (Bromm, Kudritzki, & Loeb 2001) for IMF dominated by metal-free massive stars. Finally, quasars may contribute to the production of ionizing photons, although their contributions depend crucially on the slope of the quasar luminosity function (e.g, Fan et al. 2001; Wyithe & Loeb 2002). However, if star formation takes place mostly in proto-clusters, quasar formation is likely to take place in these regions as well, perhaps in denser parts of them. In conclusion, it is physically plausible that we have underestimated the number of ionizing photons from galaxies in proto-clusters by a factor of  $\sim 5$  or more.

Perhaps more convincing is that a self-consistent reionization picture demands that the combination of these uncertain factors would increase the total number of ionizing photons by a factor of  $\sim 5$ . In this case, galaxies in proto-clusters become responsible for reionizing the entire universe at  $z \sim 6$ . Only then one could naturally explain the lack of dwarf galaxies in the fields (Tully et al. 2002). While some larger galaxies in regions of proto-clusters may have also formed prior to reionization, most larger galaxies in the fields should have lagged in formation time compared to dwarfs in the fields and therefore must form subsequent to reionization in the hierarchical structure formation model.

In summary, we show that the number of ionizing photons derived from the directly observed dwarf galaxies in present galaxy clusters should at least be able to ionize  $\sim 20\%$  of the IGM, and we argue that the most self-consistent picture would be that all ionizing sources in proto-clusters together are able to reionize the entire IGM, either because we have underestimated the overall star formation rates in proto-clusters or because we have underestimated the number of ionizing photons that enter the IGM (or both).

Is the production rate of ionizing photons from these dwarf galaxies high enough to maintain ionization? Using the estimated total mass of the 69 RASS-SDSS clusters and

assuming that they represent a typical 20% of the mass in their survey volume, we find that the photon production rate from these faint galaxies is

$$5.6 \times 10^{50} (f_{esc}/0.1) (t_{SB}/1 \times 10^8 \text{ yrs}) \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3},$$

where  $t_{SB}$  is the assumed starburst duration, which should be compared to the required rate

$$2.5 \times 10^{51} C_{30} \left(\frac{1+z}{7}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h_{70}^2}{0.04}\right)^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$$

(Madau, Haardt, & Rees 1999), where  $C_{30} = C/30$  and  $C$  is the clumping factor of recombining gas. Note that  $C_{30}$  is likely to fall below unity at  $z \sim 6$  (Gnedin & Ostriker 1997). We see that the ionizing photon production rate from dwarf galaxies alone falls short by a factor of  $\sim 5$  for maintaining the global ionization. Coincidentally, the fact that a factor of 5 more ionizing photons than the adopted value from the known dwarf galaxies in clusters are needed to ionize every hydrogen atom in the IGM suggests that once the entire IGM is reionized, it can be kept ionized.

### 3. Implications For Reionization Observations

If galaxies (and quasars, possibly) in proto-clusters are primarily responsible for reionizing the universe at  $z \sim 6$ , there are some very interesting implications.

First, it is likely that reionization starts from the centers of these proto-clusters and proceeds in an inside-out fashion (i.e., expanding in more or less spherical fashion). Direct simulations (e.g., Sokasian et al. 2003; Ciardi & Madau) show fat-filaments-like H II regions. However, simulated boxes are typically small,  $\sim 10 - 20 \text{ Mpc}$ , smaller than or comparable to the expected correlation length of proto-clusters; therefore, larger boxes are necessary to characterize the morphology of H II regions during reionization. Within each individual H II region the ionization process may progress in an outside-in way, as advocated by Miralda-Escudé, Haehnelt & Rees (2000) and Gnedin (2000).

Second, strong clustering of sources sitting on patches of individual sizes of  $10 - 30 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$  would collectively produce very large individual H II regions of initial sizes of  $\sim 10 - 30 \text{ Mpc}$  with some growing to  $\sim 100 \text{ Mpc}$  just before overlapping with others. This would have significant implications for future radio (e.g., Furlanetto, Zaldarriaga, & Hernquist 2004b; Kohler et al. 2005) and CMB experiments (e.g., Knox, Scoccimarro, & Dodelson 1998; Santos et al. 2003; McQuinn et al. 2005; Zahn et al. 2005), because these H II regions could grow to sizes even larger than those expected from luminous quasars. Under the assumption that ionizing photon production rate per baryon does not depend on cluster richness, we may translate the cluster mass function (Bahcall & Cen 1993) to an H II region

size (cumulative) function (SF) at complete overlap:

$$n(> R) = 4 \times 10^{-5} (R/R^*)^{-1} \exp(-R/R^*) \quad h^3 \text{Mpc}^{-3}, \quad (1)$$

where  $R^* = 13.04h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  comoving and  $R$  is the comoving radius of an H II region. At earlier times the SF has the same functional form with  $R^*$  being smaller as  $R^* = 13.04f^{1/3}\text{Mpc}$ , where  $f$  is the fraction of the IGM occupied by H II regions. It is important to note that these H II regions centered on each proto-clusters are strongly clustered and mergers between them will be an essential feature. Therefore, a statistical treatment of the H II regions is required. The clustering of rich clusters of galaxies is mainly statistical, determined by Gaussian statistics of peaks, and a statistical treatment given by Kaiser (1984) can accurately describe their clustering properties, independent of redshift. Empirical formulae such as that of Bahcall (1988),  $r_0 = 0.4d$ , can also be used to described the clustering in simpler forms. In combination with Equation (1) one will be able to compute various properties of H II regions during reionization. Temporal evolution of  $R^*$  (Equation 1) would depend on astrophysics of galaxy formation but may be computed empirically by matching the mean H II filling factor (i.e.,  $f$ ), determined by independent observations such as from CMB observations.

The abundance of (richness 0 and above) clusters of galaxies is roughly  $10^{-5}\text{Mpc}^{-3}$  (Bahcall & Cen 1993), which is about four orders of magnitude more abundant than the luminous SDSS quasars (Fan et al. 2004). Assuming a lifetime of quasars of  $3 \times 10^7$  yrs, the abundance of SDSS quasars are still lower than richness 0 and above clusters by a factor of  $\sim 300$ . Thus, the luminous SDSS quasars may be hosted by very rich proto-clusters with richness 3 or above (with mean separation greater than  $\sim 200h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ ) and perhaps today reside in the centers of these clusters, if one believes that they form in the highest density peaks in the hierarchical structure formation model. We note that at complete overlap, the abundance of SDSS quasars (taking into account the quasar lifetime) is comparable to the abundance of H II regions of radius  $\sim 50h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ , which is comparable to and somewhat larger than the sizes of SDSS quasar Stromgren spheres (e.g., Haiman & Cen 2002), having some important effects on the interpretation of SDSS quasar observations (Mesinger & Haiman 2004; Wyithe & Loeb 2004),

Third, the average distances between clusters of galaxies range from  $d = 40h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  (for richness 0 above clusters) to  $200h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  (for richness 3 and above clusters), and the correlation length  $r_0$  may be related to  $d$  by  $r_0 = 0.4d = 16.0 - 80.0h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$  (Bahcall 1988). The mass correlation length at  $z = 6$  in the standard WMAP normalized CDM model (Spergel et al. 2003) is  $r_M(6) = 0.8$ . Therefore, these dwarf galaxies should be highly biased with a bias factor of  $b \sim [r_0/r_M(6)]^{1.8/2} = 15 - 63$ , consistent with recent observational indications (Malhotra et al. 2005; Stiavelli et al. 2005).

Fourth, the large separations of ionizing sources suggest that there should be large spatial fluctuations of neutral fraction in the IGM up to cluster scale of  $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$ . It is intriguing to notice that, if one were to interpret the difference between the highly ionized regions suggested by SDSS J1148+5251 and significantly neutral regions around SDSS J1030+0524 as spatial variations (Oh & Furlanetto 2005), our model may provide a natural explanation.

Finally, the large H II regions would enable the flux of a large fraction of the embedded sources to be transmitted without significant attenuation. With present observational sensitivities some of the more luminous sources among them will then become individually visible even prior to the completion of overlap of individual H II regions. This may provide a more natural explanation for the observed high redshift galaxies prior to complete reionization (Hu et al. 2002; Cuby et al. 2003; Rhoads et al. 2004; Stern et al. 2005; Taniguchi et al. 2005) (see Haiman 2002 for an alternative explanation); this point was made by Furlanetto, Hernquist, & Zaldarriaga (2004) and Wyithe & Loeb (2005) in a more general context of source clustering in cold dark matter model. A definitive check is this: when more sensitive observations become available, one should expect to see clusters of fainter sources in the vicinity of known galaxies at  $z > 6$ . As a corollary, the lack of evolution of Ly $\alpha$  emitting galaxies from  $z = 6.5$  to  $z = 5.7$  will not be unexpected (Malhotra & Rhoads 2004). In fact, it might be expected that the observed (and true) LF of Ly $\alpha$  emitting galaxies may fall from  $z = 6.5$  to  $z = 5.7$  due to suppression of star formation, especially at the low luminosity end after reionization. While some of their aged, likely stellar only counterparts are seen today as dwarf galaxies in X-ray clusters of galaxies, clearly, these dwarfs galaxies in starburst phase at  $z \geq 6$  when they produce a significant number of ionizing photons are just beyond reach with the current deepest surveys (Bouwens et al. 2004; Bunker et al. 2004). But we emphasize this: we need to go deeper and go higher redshift beyond reionization to find these sources; the abundance of lower redshift counterparts subsequent to reionization may be masked by physics of reionization.

#### 4. Conclusions and Discussion

We show that faint dwarf galaxies, now seen in clusters of galaxies, when they form at  $z \geq 6$ , are able to produce ionizing photons at a sufficient rate to be responsible for reionizing at least 20% of the universe at  $z \sim 6$ . We argue that several uncertain factors may allow for the possibility that galaxies in proto-clusters reionize the entire universe at  $z \sim 6$ , only under which one may construct a self-consistent reionization picture. The implications are substantially profound and observationally verifiable (see §3). In particular, fluctuations of neutral fraction across very large spatial dimensions ( $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$ ) are expected, so are very



large H II regions of size  $\sim 100\text{Mpc}$ . We give an H II region size function in §3 (Equation 1). Such large H II regions may be observable by upcoming radio (such as LOFAR, MWA, PAST and SKA) and CMB experiments (WMAP and PLANCK).

Furlanetto and collaborators have investigated extensively the growth of large H II regions based on the Extended Press-Schechter theory, having the advantage of being able to treat scales larger than possible in present simulations (Furlanetto, Zaldarriaga, & Hernquist 2004a; (Furlanetto, Hernquist, & Zaldarriaga 2004; Furlanetto & Oh 2005). What is interesting is that these two completely different and complementary approaches, ours based on direct observations and theirs on the cold dark matter model, appear to converge onto a similar conclusion that reionization process likely proceeds inside-out on large scales and is very inhomogeneous on scales up to many megaparsecs.

Within such a physical picture of cosmological reionization, a proper numerical computation would require a box size of at least  $100\text{Mpc}$ , in agreement with theoretical considerations based on clustering properties in the cold dark matter model (Barkana & Loeb 2004). This is a formidable challenge to workers in the field, especially in the context of radiation hydrodynamic simulations.

Observations evidently paint a picture where dwarfs form much more abundantly in proto-clusters than in the fields, for which Tully et al. (2002) give a convincing theoretical demonstration in the context of cold dark matter model. Here we will give a simple argument that, in order to form a self-consistent picture, the duration of the final reionization has a redshift interval of  $\Delta z = 1 - 2$ , as follows. Proto-cluster regions at redshift  $z = 6$  have a linear overdensity of  $\delta_c/D(0) = 0.18\delta_c$ , where  $D(0)$  is the linear growth factor from  $z = 6$  to  $z = 0$  in the standard CDM model (Spergel et al. 2003) and  $\delta_c$  is the linear critical overdensity for collapse. Therefore, roughly speaking, halo formation in the fields (where overdensity is close to zero) lags that in proto-cluster regions by a redshift interval  $\Delta z = 0.18\delta_c/\delta_c \times (1+6) = 1.3$ . The fact that formation of dwarf galaxies in the fields was largely suppressed suggests that reionization did not take significantly longer than that interval  $\Delta z$ .

I thank Andrey Kravtsov for useful discussion and Steven Furlanetto for helpful comments. This research is supported in part by NAG5-13381, AST-0206299 and AST-0407176.

## REFERENCES

- Bahcall, N.A. 1988, ARAA, 26, 631  
 Bahcall, N.A., & Cen, R. 1993, ApJ, 407, L49

- Barkana, R., 2002, *NewA*, 7, 85
- Barkana, R., & Loeb, A. 2004, *ApJ*, 609, 474
- Benson, A.J., Frenk, C.S., Lacey, C.G., Baugh, C.M., & Cole, S. 2002, *MNRAS*, 333, 177
- Becker, R.H., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2850
- Bouwens, R.J., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 606, L25
- Bromm, V., Kudritzki, R.P., & Loeb, A. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 464
- Bullock, J.S., Kravtsov, A.V., & Weinberg, D.H. 2000, *ApJ*, 539, 517
- Bunker, A.J., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 374
- Cen, R. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 12
- Cen, R., & McDonald, P. 2002, *ApJ*, 570, 457
- Ciardi, B., & Madau, P. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 1
- Cuby, J.-G., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 405, L19
- Fan, X., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2833
- Fan, X., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 1247
- Fan, X., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 515
- Frei, Z., & Gunn, J.E. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 1476
- Furlanetto, S.R., Hernquist, L., & Zaldarriaga, M. 2004, *ApJ*, 354, 695
- Furlanetto, S.R., Zaldarriaga, M., & Hernquist, L. 2004a, *ApJ*, 613, 1
- Furlanetto, S.R., Zaldarriaga, M., & Hernquist, L. 2004b, *ApJ*, 613, 16
- Furlanetto, S.R., & Oh, S.P. 2005, *astro-ph/0505065*
- Gao, L., De Lucia, G., White, S.D.M., & Jenkins, A. 2004, *MNRAS*, 352, L1
- Gnedin, N.Y. 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 535
- Gnedin, N.Y., & Ostriker, J.P. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, 581
- Haiman, Z. 2002, *ApJ*, 576, L1
- Haiman, Z., & Cen, R. 2002, *ApJ*, 578, 702
- Haiman, Z., & Holder, G.P. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 1
- Hu, E.M., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L75
- Kauffmann, G., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 341, 33
- Knox, L, Scoccimarro, R., & Dodelson, S. 1998, *Phy. Rev. Lett.*, 81, 2004

- Kohler, K., Gnedin, N.Y., Miralda-Escudé, J., Shaver, P.A. 2005, astro-ph/0501086
- Kravtsov, A.V., Gnedin, O.Y., & Klypin, A.A. 2004, ApJ, 609, 482
- Madau, P., Haardt, F., & Rees, M.J. 1999, ApJ, 514, 648
- Malhotra, S., et al. 2005, ApJ, 626, 666
- Malhotra, S., & Rhoads, J.E. 2004, ApJ, 617, L5
- Mateo, M. 1998, ARAA, 36, 435
- McQuinn, M., et al. 2005, astro-ph/0504189
- Mesinger, A., & Haiman, Z. 2004, ApJ, 611, L69
- Oh, S.P., & Furlanetto, S.R. 2005, ApJ, 620, L9
- Popesso, P., Biviano, A., Bohringer, H., Romaniello, M., & Voges, W. 2004, astro-ph/0411536
- Popesso, P., Biviano, A., Bohringer, H., & Romaniello, M., 2005, astro-ph/0506201
- Press, W.H., & Schechter, P. 1974, ApJ, 187, 425
- Quinn, T., Katz, N., & Efstathiou, G. 1996, MNRAS, 278, L49
- Rhoads, J.E., et al. 2004, 611, 59
- Ricotti, M., & Ostriker, J.P. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 547
- Santos, M.G., Cooray, A., Haiman, Z., Knox, L., & Ma, C.-P. 2003, 598,
- Shaviv, N.J., & Dekel, A. 2003, astro-ph/0305527
- Sokasian, A., Abel, T., Hernquist, L., & Springel, V. 2003, MNRASj 344, 607
- Somerville, R.S. 2002, ApJ, 572, L23
- Spergel, D.N. 2003, ApJS, 148, 175
- Stern, D., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, 12
- Stiavelli, M, et al. 2005, ApJ, 622, L1
- Taniguchi, Y., et al. 2005, PASJ, 57, 165
- Thoul, A.A., & Weinberg, D.H. 1996, ApJ, 465, 608
- Trentham, N., & Tully, R.B. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 712
- Tully, R.B., et al. 2002, ApJ, 569, 573
- White, R., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 1
- Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2002, ApJ, 581, 886
- Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2003, ApJ, 586, 693

Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2004, *Nature*, 427, 815

Wyithe, S., & Loeb, A. 2005, *ApJ*, 625, 1

Zahn, O., Zaldarriaga, M., Hernquist, L., & McQuinn, M. 2005, *astro-ph/0503166*